UNCLASSIFIED
AD NUMBER
AD843108
LIMITATION CHANGES
TO: Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.
FROM: Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Critical Technology; SEP 1968. Other requests shall be referred to Air Force Technical Application Center, Washington, DC 20333. This document contains export-

controlled technical data.

# AUTHORITY

usaf ltr, 25 jan 1972

25



ANALYSIS OF SUMMER LONG-PERIOD NOISE

Special Scientific Report No. 24
LARGE-ARRAY SIGNAL AND NOISE ANALYSIS

Prepared by Aftab Alam

Frank H. Binder, Program Manager Telephone: 1-214-238-3491

TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED

Science Services Division

P.O. Box 5621

Dallas, Texas 75222

Contract No. AF 33(657)-16678

Prepared for
AIR FORCE TECHNICAL APPLICATIONS CENTER
Washington, D. C. 20333

Sponsored by
ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY
ARPA Order No. 599
AFTAC Project No. VT/6707

27 September 1968

STATEMENT #2 UNCLASSIFIED

This document is subject to special export controls and each transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be made only with prior approval of AIR FORCE TECHNICAL Applications Content and each transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be made only with prior approval of AIR FORCE TECHNICAL Applications Contents.

WASN. D.C. 20333

NOV 1 8 1968



### ANALYSIS OF SUMMER LONG-PERIOD NOISE

Special Scientific Report No. 24 LARGE-ARRAY SIGNAL AND NOISE ANALYSIS

> Prepared by Aftab Alam

Frank H. Binder, Program Manager Telephone: 1-214-238-3491

TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED

Science Services Division
P.O. Box 5621

Dallas, Texas 75222

Contract No. AF 33(657)-16678

Prepared for
AIR FORCE TECHNICAL APPLICATIONS CENTER
Washington, D.C. 20333

Sponsored by
ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY
ARPA Order No. 599
AFTAC Project No. VT/6707

27 September 1968

# BLANK PAGE



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Title	Page
I	INTRODUCTION AND PRINCIPAL RESULTS	<b>I</b> -1
II	DATA PROCESSING	II-1
III	RESULTS OF DATA PROCESSING	III-1
	A. 24 JUNE 1967 LONG NOISE SAMPLE B. 29 MARCH 1967 NOISE SAMPLE C. 11 MAY 1967 NOISE SAMPLE D. 10 JULY 1967 NOISE SAMPLE E. 7 OCTOBER 1967 NOISE SAMPLE	III-1 III-3 III-4 III-5 III-6
IV	COHERENCE BETWEEN MICROSEISMIC NOISE AND MICROBAROGRAPHIC DATA	IV -1
v	CONCLUSIONS	V - 1
VI	REFERENCES	VI-1
	TABLE	
Table	Title	Page
II-1	Noise Samples	II-2
III-1	Noise Samples rms Value	III-3
IV-1	Microbarograph Characteristics	IV -2
	ILLUSTRATIONS	
Figure	Description	Page
I-1	Subarrays and Coordinates	<b>I-</b> 2
I-2	Response of Instrument-Amplifier-Filter Combination	I-3
III-1	Segment (2:11:59.9 to 3:31:59.3) of Long Noise Sample	III-7
III-2	Segment (3:31:59.9 to 4:41:59.3) of Long Noise Sample	III-8
III-3	Segment (4:51:59.9 to 6:11:59.3) of Long Noise Sample	III-9
III-4	Segment (6:11:59.9 to 7:31:59.3) of Long Noise Sample	III-10
III-5	Power-Density Spectra for Segment (2:11:59.9 to 3:31:59.3) of Long Noise Sample	III-11



# ILLUSTRATIONS (CONTD)

Figure	Description	Page
III-6	Power-Density Spectra for Segment (3:31:59.9 to 4.51:59.3) of Long Noise Sample	III-12
III-7	Power-Density Spectra for Segment (4:51:59.9 to 6:11:59.3) of Long Noise Sample	III-13
III - 8	Power-Density Spectra for Segment (6:11:59.9 to 7:31:59.3) of Long Noise Sample	III-14
III-9	Prediction-Error Plot for 24 June 1967 Long-Period Noise Sample	III-15
III-10	Wavenumber Spectra at 0.07 Hz, 24 June 1967 Long-Period Noise Sample	III-16
III-11	Prediction-Error Plot for B3 Residual Minus (B2, B4, C1, C3, C4, D1, D2, D3, D4, E1, E4)	III - 17
III-12	Surface-Pressure Map at 06.00 Hr, 24 June 1967	III - 17
III-13	Waveheight Chart at 00.00 Hr, 24 June 1967	III-18
III-14	Wavenumber Spectra at 0.13 Hz, 24 June 1967 Long-Period Noise Sample	III-19
III-15	20-Min Segments of 29 March 1967 Noise Sample	III-20
III-16	Power-Density Spectra of 29 March 1967 Noise	III-21
III-17	Prediction-Error Plots for 29 March 1967 Noise Sample	III-22
III-18	Wavenumber Spectra at 0.06 Hz, 29 March 1967 Noise Sample	III-23
III-19	Surface-Pressure Map at 06.00 Hr, 29 March 1967	III-24
III-20	Waveheight Chart at 00.00 Hr, 29 March 1967	III-25
III-21	Waveheight Chart at 12.00 Hr, 29 March 1967	III-26
III-22	20-Min Segments of 11 May 1967 Noise Sample	III-27
III-23	Waveheight Chart at 00.00 Hr, 11 May 1967	III-28
III-24	Waveheight Chart at 12.00 Hr, 11 May 1967	III-29
III-25	Power-Density Spectra of 11 May 1967 Noise	III-30
III-26	Prediction-Error Plots for 11 May 1967 Noise Sample	III-31
III-27	Wavenumber Spectra at 0.06 Hz, 11 May 1967 Noise Sample	III-32



# ILLUSTRATIONS (CONTD)

Figure	Description	Page
III-38	Surface-Pressure Map at 06.00 Hr, 11 May 1967	III-33
III-29	20-Min Segments of 10 July 1967 Noise Sample	III-34
III-30	Power-Density Spectra of 10 July 1967 Noise	III-35
III-31	Prediction-Error Plots for 10 July 1967 Noise Sample	III-36
III-32	Wavenumber Spectra at 0.06 Hz, 10 July 1967 Noise Sample	III-37
III-33	Surface-Pressure Map at 00.00 Hr, 10 July 1967	Ш1-38
III-34	Waveheight Chart at 00.00 Hr, 10 July 1967	III-39
III-35	20-Min Segments of 7 October 1967 Noise Sample	III-40
III-36	Power-Density Spectra of 7 October 1967 Noise	III -4 1
III-37	Prediction-Error Plots for 7 October 1967 Noise Sample	III-42
III-38	Wavenumber Spectra at 0.06 Hz, 7 October 1967 Noise Sample	III-43
III-39	Surface-Pressure Map at 12.00 Hr, 7 October 1967	III-44
III-40	Waveheight Chart at 12.00 Hr, 7 October 1967	III-45
IV-1	Prediction-Error Plot for A0 Vertical and Microbarograph Instruments, 24 June 1967 Noise Sample	IV-3
IV-2	Prediction-Error Plot for A0 Microbarograph and Combinations of Horizontal Instruments, 10 July 1967 Noise Sample	IV-3
IV-3	Power-Density Spectra of A0 Microbarographic Data, 24 June 1967 Noise	IV-3



### SECTION I

#### INTRODUCTION AND PRINCIPAL RESULTS

This report presents results of an analysis of the long-period (LP) noise recorded at the Large-Aperture Seismic Array (LASA) during the summer of 1967. A similar analysis for winter 1966-67 noise was published in Large-Array Signal and Noise Analysis Special Report No. 12.

The LASA long-period system consists of 21 long-period 3-component seismometers located at the center of each subarray at the Montana LASA. A map of the subarray locations and their coordinates (relative to A0) are shown in Figure I-1. Amplitude response of the instrument-amplifier-filter combination used during the data recording is shown in Figure I-2.

In general, the summer noise is similar to the quiet winter noise. Salient features of the summer noise are as follows.

- The noise can be divided into two components: a nonpropagating component below about 0.05 Hz and a propagating component dominating the 0.05- to 0.17-Hz region.
- Fundamental-mode Rayleigh-wave energy seems to dominate the propagating component; how-ever, mantle P-wave energy (0.13 Hz) has also been detected in one case.
- Little coherence has been observed between microbarographic and seismic data; however, this observation is based on the analysis of only five samples, four of which were recorded consecutively.
- Propagating noise shows two regions of high coherence: one between 0.05 and 0.07 Hz and the other between 0.11 and 0.14 Hz. Vertical components can be predicted accurately from the horizontal instruments or from other vertical instruments.






Figure I-1. Subarrays and Coordinates (Relative to A0)



- Power-density spectra show peaks which vary between 0.05 and 0.07 Hz and also between 0.11 and 0.14 Hz. These regions are consistent with the regions of high coherence.
- Most horizontal and some vertical instruments show a peak in the region below 0.05 Hz. Where the horizontal instruments show a peak but the vertical instruments do not, the horizontal components contain about 15 db more power than the vertical components.
- Most horizontal sensors are about 3 db noisier than the vertical sensors in the 0.1- to 0.2-Hz region.
- Power spectra of similar components are generally space-stationary.
- The average power level of summer noise is about 0 to 3 db lower than the quiet winter noise.
- Noise peaks in the wavenumber spectra sometimes agree with the low regions in the surface weather map. However, there are cases when there is no correlation between noise peaks and low-pressure centers.




Figure I-2. Response of Instrument-Amplifier-Filter Combination



# SECTION II DATA PROCESSING

The data consisted of eight 80-1... n noise samples recorded between 29 March and 7 October 1967 (Table II-1). Four of these samples were recorded consecutively, forming a 320-min long noise sample. Data were resampled in the ratio of 5:1. Thus, the resulting sample rate was 1.0 sec, which corresponds to the Nyquist folding frequency of 0.5 Hz. No antialiasing was necessary, as the recording system has an effective cutoff below 0.5 Hz (Figure I-2).

The processing sequence consisted of despiking; removal of means; forming wiggly-trace playbacks; and computing power-density spectra, multiple coherences and wavenumber spectra. Data were despiked by linearly interpolating between the points immediately before and after the spike region. Wiggly-trace playbacks were used for quality control. Dead or wild traces were not included in the analysis. Overall, about 80 percent of the data was usable.

Power-density spectra were computed by using the maximum-entropy spectral-analysis technique. Power-density spectra of seismic data were calibrated to ground motion by using conversion factors of 375 digital units/µ for vertical instruments and 400 digital units/µ for horizontal instruments.

Spectral matrices used for the multiple coherence and wavenumber spectral estimates were obtained by taking the fast Fourier transforms of 4096-point segments, setting  $\phi_{ij} = F_i^* F_j$ , and smoothing over adjacent frequencies. The amount of smoothing depended upon the type of analysis to be done and the number of channels involved. Additional degrees of freedom were available for the long noise sample (four back-to-back tapes) by averaging transforms from all tapes.



Table II-1
NOISE SAMPLES

Date	Tim	e Inter	val
29 March 1967	5:31:26.6	to	6:49:59.6
11 May 1967	5:39:59.8	to	5:59:59.2
24 June 1967	2:11:59.9	to	3:31:59.3
24 June 1967	3:31:59.9	to	4:51:59.3
24 June 1967	4:51:59.9	to	6:11:59.3
24 June 1967	6:11:59.9	to	7:31:59.3
10 July 1967	2:26:00.1	to	3:45:59.5
7 October 1967	13:34:59.6	to	14:54:59.0

Prediction error was computed from the multiple coherences as a function of frequency. (Trediction error is the normalized power in the reference channel which cannot be predicted by linear least-mean-square-error filters from the other channels and is equal to |1 - (coherence)|.)<sup>3</sup> Wavenumber spectra were computed by the conventional technique, which is equivalent to beamsteering and integrating the output power in the frequency domain.

Additional processing was done on the 320-min noise sample to study the vertical component of the long-period noise. This processing consisted of forming the residual vertical-component covariance matrix conditioned on knowing the horizontal sensor outputs. The resulting covariance matrix was analyzed for coherences and spatial organization.



The F-ring was excluded in the long-noise-sample processing. Of the remaining 17 subarrays, only 12 had all three components usable on the four segments of the long noise sample. These subarrays were B2, B3, B4, C1, C3, C4, D1, D2, D3, D4, E1, and E4. Thus, 12 vertical and 24 horizontal channels were used in the analysis. The computational steps are summarized in the following paragraphs.

A 36x36 covariance matrix, formed by the vertical and horizontal sensors, was obtained. Each element,  $\phi_{ij}(f)$ , of this matrix was calculated by averaging the crosspower between the i<sup>th</sup> and j<sup>th</sup> sensors over about 80 adjacent frequencies and over the four consecutive time segments also.

The 36x36 covariance matrix was partitioned into the following submatrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Omega_{VV} & \Omega_{VH} \\ \Omega_{HV} & \Omega_{HH} \end{bmatrix}$$

where

 $\Omega_{
m VV}$  is the 12x12 crosspower matrix due to vertical sensors only  $\Omega_{
m VH}$  is the 12x24 crosspower matrix due to vertical and horizontal sensors

 $\Omega_{HV} = (\Omega_{VH})^T$ , where T represents conjugate transpose  $\Omega_{HH}$  is the 24x24 crosspower matrix due to horizontal sensors only

A 12x12 conditional covariance matrix  $\Omega_{VV-H}$  of verticals, given the horizontals, was calculated by subtracting from  $\Omega_{VV}$  that component which is predictable from the horizontal sensors. Thus,

$$\Omega_{VV-H} = \Omega_{VV} - \Omega_{VH} \Omega_{HH}^{-1} \Omega_{HV}$$

Prediction error and wavenumber analysis was done on  $\Omega_{VV}$ ,  $\Omega_{HH}$  and  $\Omega_{VV-H}$ . Also, prediction error was obtained in the case of horizontal sensors predicting the vertical sensor outputs.

# BLANK PAGE



# SECTION III RESULTS OF DATA PROCESSING

Results are presented in chronological order except for the long noise sample (24 June 1967) which is presented first. Playback sections, power spectra, prediction-error curves, and wavenumber spectra are presented for each noise sample. Maps of surface weather and waveheight charts from the nearest available time are also shown. Coherence between microbarograph and long-period seismometer data is discussed in Section IV.

It is generally considered that the microseism peaks near 0.13 Hz should not be included in the band of long-period data analysis aimed at discrimination. Therefore, except for the long noise sample, f-k spectra were computed and studied for only the 0.05- to 0.08-Hz peak.

### A. 24 JUNE 1967 LONG NOISE SAMPLE

Figures III-1 through III-4 show four 20-min sections taken from the four noise-sample tapes. This is the quietest sample analyzed, winter or summer. Table III-1 shows the rms levels of the noise samples presented in this report and, for comparison, the levels of three previously studied winter samples. 1

Table III-1
NOISE-SAMPLE RMS VALUE

Da	te	RMS (Digital Units)
3 Dec	1966	20
13 Dec	1966	10
7 Feb	1967	33
29 Mar	1967	9
ll May	1967	6
24 Jun	1967	5
10 Jul	1967	7
7 Oct	1967	7



The power-density spectra (Figures III-5 through III-8) contain a major broad peak in the vicinity of 0.06 Hz and a very minor broad peak in the vicinity of 0.13 Hz. Generally, but not at every location, the horizontal components are considerably (5 to 15 db) noisier than the vertical components below about 0.06 Hz.

A sampling of prediction-error results (Figure III-9) shows that most of the noise coherence occurs in the 0.07-Hz peak. Figure III-10 shows the wavenumber spectra at 0.07 Hz for the vertical components, the north-south components, and the vertical residual components (residual after linear prediction using all horizontal elements). The spectra of the vertical and north-south components are generally similar. These spectra show that at 0.07 Hz (Figure III-10) the energy is widely distributed but arrives from three main directions (N72°W, N80°E and S20°W) and propagates at 3.5 km/sec.

The wavenumber spectrum of the vertical-component residuals shows relative peaks in roughly the same areas but is more "white" in the region of seismic noise (V > 3 km/sec).

The residual vertical components are generally 3 to 6 db quieter than the vertical components (Figure III-9). This noise reduction is the result of "predicting off" some of the Rayleigh-mode energy common to both components. The remaining residual noise has significant coherence. Figure III-11 shows one example of the prediction error among the residual components. The low prediction error near 0.07 Hz indicates a considerable spatial organization.

From the f-k spectra (Figure III-9) it would appear that the residual noise field is probably a mixture of fairly isotropic surface-mode energy and P-wave energy, with the surface-mode energy dominant. Thus,



on the quietest noise sample analyzed, with the surface-mode energy further reduced 3 to 6 db by horizontal-vertical prediction, it appears that Rayleigh-mode energy still is dominant in the 16- to 18-sec microseism peak.

Figures III-12 and III-13 show surface atmospheric pressure and waveheights, respectively. There is no obvious correlation between the f-k spectra at 0.07 Hz and the wave activity or low-pressure areas.

Figure III-14 shows the f-k spectral estimate of the vertical and residual vertical components at 0.13 Hz. The plot for the residual vertical components has a higher edge velocity (5 km/sec vs 2 km/sec). These two spectra are essentially the same. The vertical components showed almost no reduction when conditioned on the horizontal components at 0.13 Hz. It is recalled from the power spectra (Figures III-5 through III-8) that the power density is very low in this region and is only a few db above system (including digitization) noise.

The wavenumber spectra indicate that the noise is dominated by a peak coming from the north at very high velocity (V > 20 km/sec). It appears that on this very quiet day the noise near 0.13 Hz is dominated by mantle P-wave energy. This is the only time P-wave energy was observed on the LASA long-period data.

## B. 29 MARCH 1967 NOISE SAMPLE

Table III-1 indicates that the 29 March 1967 sample is noisy for a summer sample, although it is considerably quie er than some winter samples.

The 20-min segments in Figure III-15 show a burst of noise with a dominant 0.06-Hz frequency. This agrees with the 0.06-Hz peak in the power-density spectrum (Figure III-16). The power-density spectrum also shows multiple peaks below 0.05 Hz and a sharp peak at 0.13 Hz.



Figure III-17 shows several prediction-error results. The peaks near 0.06 and 0.13 Hz appear to be most coherent, and the coherence falls off below about 0.05 Hz. There is good coherence between the vertical component and nearby horizontal components in the spectral peaks. This indicates that the peaks are Rayleigh-mode energy.

Figure III-18 shows the wavenumber spectra of the vertical and north-south components at 0.06 Hz. The vertical component's spectrum indicates Rayleigh-mode energy from areas with bearings N 80°W and N 70° E. The north-south component spectrum shows the same peaks but is more complex. There is a good agreement between the azimuths of the peaks at 0.06 Hz in the wavenumber spectrum and the low regions on the surface weather map (Figure III-19).

Figures III-20 and III-21 show the wave activity at 00.00 hr and 12.00 hr, respectively. Both show intense wave activity along the Newfoundland coast but only the latter shows high wave activity along the British Columbian coastline. The noise sample was taken around 06.00 hr. It seems likely that the high wave activity on the British Columbian and Newfoundland coasts was generating this 0.06-Hz noise.

### C. 11 MAY 1967 NOISE SAMPLE

The 11 May 1967 noise sample (Figure III-22) comes from a fairly quite period, as can be seen from the low rms level in Table III-1. This agrees with the lack of wave activity on the continental coastlines (Figures III-23 and III-24).

Power-density spectra (Figure III-25) only show small high regions superimposed on a slowly decaying exponential curve. These high ill-defined regions are located below 0.05 Hz, around ).07 Hz, and also in the vicinity of 0.13 Hz. Prediction-error plots (Figure III-26) show some



coherence between 0.03 and 0.07 Hz and also around 0.13 Hz; however, these coherences are not particularly high.

The vertical-component wavenumber spectra at 0.06 Hz (Figure III-27 show two peak regions — indicating that the energy is propagating as fundamental-mode Rayleigh-wave energy. The wavenumber spectrum for the east-west component indicates that the energy is distributed over a very wide azimuth. There is no obvious correlation between the azimuths of peaks in the wavenumber spectrum and the low regions in the surface weather map (Figure III-28).

#### D. 10 JULY 1967 NOISE SAMPLE

The 20-min segments (Figure III-29) show that about 40 percent of the horizontal channels were unusable. The vertical channels show a burst of noise with a dominant 0.06 Hz frequency.

The noise level (Table III-1) is fairly average for summer. The power-density spectra (Figure III-30) show three well-defined peaks: a single peak near 0.06 Hz and a double peak between 0.12 Hz and 0.16 Hz. There is also a fairly broad, high region below 0.05 Hz.

Prediction-error analysis (Figure III-31) on vertical sensors shows high coherence in the 0.05- to 0.07-Hz region and also at 0.15 Hz.

Wavenumber spectral analysis (Figure III-32) shows fundamental-mode Rayleigh-wave energy propagating from the west. There is no obvious correlation between the direction of Rayleigh-wave energy as observed from the wavenumber spectrum and the surface weather map (Figure III-33). The waveheight chart (Figure III-34) does not indicate any significant activity near North American coastlines.



### E. 7 OCTOBER 1967 NOISE SAMPLE

The 20-min segments (Figure III-35) show that about 35 percent of data (particularly B and E rings) were unusable.

The noise level (Table III-1) is fairly close to the summer average. Power-density spectra (Figure III-36) show two broad high regions around 0.07 Hz and 0.13 Hz.

Prediction-error analysis (Figure III-37) shows high coherence between vertical sensors at 0.07 Hz and 0.11 Hz, some coherence between vertical and horizontal sensors at 0.13 Hz, but little coherence between vertical and horizontal sensors at 0.07 Hz.

Wavenumber spectra at 0.06 Hz (Figure III-38) show energy propagating at about 3.5 km/sec and coming from two azimuths, e.g., N60°E and N60°W.

The surface weather map (Figure III-39) shows two important low regions located along the Newfoundland and Alaskan coasts. These locations correlate very well with the azimuth of the peaks in the wavenumber spectra.

The waveheight chart (Figure III-40) shows activity on the Newfoundland coast in the northeast, on the coast of Washington state in the west, and south of the Aleutians in the northwest. There is some correlation between the regions of wave activity and the azimuths of wavenumber peaks.






Figure III-1. Segment (2:11:59.9 to 3:31:59.3) of Long Noise Sample



MORTH	ENST -	VERTICAL
18		more of the way of the same
B wordy Monday was a way of the same	Vironage of pronocurantemporal Whomas	relation of professional measurements of the formation of the
ころうとうかんとうころのできていることのできないというからいろうというというと	ころんとしているというというないのであるというこう	ومادرور سراكها المراجع والمعامل المعارور والمالالمهادي المعارورورا
10かり、いろいっしまからからないというかんないのかのからからからから		ومعاوله والمراجع المراجع المراجعة والمعاددة والمراجعة وا
my many many many many many many many ma	- Mormon more many many	ومريها المعاليدر المعسيها ومرايه عقادهم والمعروب ومسرور ومل والمارد
CA-1/11/2/1/1-1/11/2/1/11/2/1/2/1/2/1/2/2/2/2	to a compression and a compression of the same	substitutional and and property of the substitution of the property of the substitution of the substitutio
BA Symony from the following of the following the followin	そろいろうないとうないというないというないというというないから	والمرابعة والمراجعة
and the state of t	Announce of the second	والمعاولة لسراء كورسيد أرومهم والمعارض والمسرية كالمروم والمرامية المحامل المدرية
a wind water for the water work that	おうちょうしゅうちょうしゅうけんしいかんしょうかいというとうないますします	مراها والمارات الرواحد الاراد والمراوات الرواحد المساحدة ومعادم والمرواحد الارادان الماراد
R/W/MM/MM/MM/MM/MM/MM	Answermen mountains	month Monament and a supplemental management of the supplement of the supplemental management of the supplement of the s
		word black and
03 VILLY WWW. WWW. Aproportion of the state	Munimumanimum	Manufacture of the state of the
DA Willy Spy of State St	abytherest the state of the second se	والمراد المراد المرد المراد ال
10		Amount of the property of the
DZ MANNAMANANINANINANAMANANANANANANANANANAN	appropriate more more propriate and a second	of March
B	manufacture of the second seco	solar of the formation of the common of the sound
El expression provincial formation of the province of the second of the	からからないというというというからいろうというないからいかいろうかいろうできていると	Mylandranderstally with resonant and market by
13 الدين المهاد والمراد المرافع المرافع المرافع المعامية المرافع الماديد والمرافع المرافع المر	あっていているというかっているというというとなるというというというというと	معواله إراجه والمرادب والمراجعة والمراجعة والمراجعة والمراجعة والمراجعة والمراجعة والمراجعة والمراجعة والمراجعة
A promotivate management of a properties of the	というというというというというというというというというというというというというと	والمرامي بالدارا والدوا والمعافية مدمه فالدايد أيدوه الدمه ومدير وواوا والدميد والإرامة ودوا
B monday of the second of the	summer of the su	nowalking the metalogical production and the phillipse
とうとうとうとうとうとうとうとうとうとうというというというというというというとい	Some son of the solutions of the solutions	months of the contract of the second or the contract of the second or th
	335 <b>99-1</b> +	

Figure III-2. Segment (3:31:59.9 to 4:51:59.3) of Long Noise Sample




Figure III-3. Segment (4:51:59. 9 to 6:11:59. 3) of Long Noise Sample




Figure III-4. Segment (6:11:59.9 to 7:31:59.3) of Long Noise Sample






Figure III-5. Power-Density Spectra for Segment (2:11:59.9 to 3:31:59.3) of Long Noise Sample



0

0.10

FREQUENCY (Hz)

0.20




Figure III-6. Power-Density Spectra for Segment (3:31:59.9 to 4:51:59.3) of Long Noise Sample

0

0.20

0.10

FREQUENCY (Hz)



10

0

0

0.10

FREQUENCY (Hz)

0.20




Figure III-7. Power-Density Spectra for Segment (4:51:59.9 to 6:11:59.3) of Long Noise Sample

10

0

0

0.10

FREQUENCY (Hz)

0.20






Figure III-8. Power-Density Spectra for Segment (6:11:59.9 to 7:31:59.3) of Long Noise Sample






Figure III-9. Prediction-Error Plot for 24 June 1967 Long-Period Noise Sample






Figure III-10. Wavenumber Spectra at 0.07 Hz, 24 June 1967 Long-Period Noise Sample




Figure III-11. Prediction-Error Plot for B3 Residual Minus (B2, B4, C1, C3, C4, D1, D2, D3, D4, E1, E4)






Figure III-12. Surface-Pressure Map at 06.00 Hr, 24 June 1967






Figure III-13. Waveheight Chart at 00.00 Hr, 24 June 1967






Figure III-14. Wavenumber Spectra at 0.13 Hz, 24 June 1967 Long-Period Noise Sample

MORTH	EAST	VERTICAL
BINGLOSSYNDON WAS INTO AND	WWWWWW. Conformal months with	ۅڡڡڡڡڡڡڡڡڡڡٵٳٵڡڡٵٳٳڮڂڡڂڔٵ؋ڔڡڔڡ؞؋ڔ؞؞؞؞؞؞؞؞ٷڎڎ؞؞؋ڔڰڎ؞ڔٳ؋ڔ؞؞؞؞؋؞؞؋ڔ؋ڔ؞؞؞؞؞؞ ۅڡڡ؋؋ڔ؞؞؋ڔ؋ڔ؋ڔ؋ڔ؋ڔ؋ڔ؋ڔ؋ڔ؋ڔ؋ڔ؋ڔ؋ڔ؋ڔ؋ڔ؋ڔ؋ڔ؋
والارامال المرامية والمرامية والمرامية والمرامة والمرامة والمرامة والمرامة والمرامة والمرامة والمرامة والمرامة	المراكالمساوي المجاولة والمستعدد والمرابعة والمراجعة والمستعدد والمراجعة والمستعددة والمستعددة والمتعددة والمتعدة والمتعددة والمتعددة والمتعددة والمتعددة والمتعددة والمتعددة وا	Account the second of the seco
Money/control of the first of t	A March of Contract of the Asset of the Asse	Charles be a second of the sec
Barrens Commence Comm	A A CARON A COMPANY AND A CARON AND A CARO	きたからないないないというかんかんないないないないできること
日本、シケー・カタン・プラント・インテレイン・マー・マー・カー・アー・アー・アー・アー・アー・アー・アー・アー・アー・アー・アー・アー・アー	man the second of the second o	مادهمها المتيامة المادم مادم مادم المادم المادمة المادمة المادمة المادمة
CI ジャルコーングアノント/カンプルンファングインン・ハンシャルがマーファントン	appearly and the formation of the second second second second	والعاقات إرواعه المقاردون الألهدالوال والعالم ومقيط كالماكم والمسترس والمحاص
CZ SPINALIJUNIYANA (MANALA CONTANA CANTANA CANTANA	MUNICIPALITY CONTRACTOR CONTRACTO	المعاول المتحاول المتحافظ والمتحار والماري الماري الماري المتحارة المتحاولة المتحادة والمتحادة والمتحادة والمتحادة
B2 ちょうしょうないかいかいかいからないからないからないからないからないというというというというというというというというというというというというという	Monmon work work where	というとうというというというというというというというというというというというとい
3 Monday John Maynamen Mannander	Andrew Wall My Contractor and contra	Approximation of the first property of the second of the s
BUSHINGAMANAMANAMANAMANAMANAMANAMANAMANAMANAM	My Marine	Mathematical book of the state of the state of the state of the state of
かれていていないというというというというというというというとうというというというというというと	そびないというというというというというというというというというというというというという	いってきないというできなりMarting いっちょうけんだんできなっていればしているとものはないない
01	Museumonomone	いるというというというというというというというできることになっているというと
DZ VELING JOHN JOHN WOUND WAS WOOD JOHN WAS WILLY WILL	MANNEWMANNERSONATIONAMINATIONAL	Temperature programmed for the filter of the programmed in the
Blownine	MMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM	とのなるとなっては、これのできないというないというないとうないというというというというというというというというというというというというという
والماري المساوم المعالي معالم المرادي معامل المواحدة المو	ころろうしているとうとうといろしていくしているとう	the and the property of the second of the se
EL Marken Grand Carporation (A) Consistency of the Market Market Consistency	MWW. Ham Women Sylven Colored March Colored	これのことにいるというないないというないというないというないないないないというないというない
日またことうというとうなられていませんとうとうというなられているとのないというと	month of the second of the sec	معمول المارات
e/	- Marine Marine Marine	Conserve agenciately construction of the property of the prope
The county of the sale of the	そうべんからないというないというないというないというないというないというないというないとい	となっているというできないというというというというというというというというというというというというとう
	235 <b>39</b> —1	

Figure III-15. 20-Min Segments of 29 March 1967 Noise Sample

.






Figure III-16. Power-Density Spectra of 29 March 1967 Noise






Figure III-17. Prediction-Error Plots for 29 March 1967 Noise Sample






Figure III-18. Wavenumber Spectra at 0.06 Hz, 29 March 1967 Noise Sample






Figure III-19. Surface-Pressure Map at 06.00 Hr, 29 March 1967






Figure III-20. Waveheight Chart at 00.00 Hr. 29 March 1967






Figure III-21. Waveheight Chart at 12.00 Hr, 29 March 1967




Figure III-22. 20-Min Segments of 11 May 1967 Noise Sample






Figure III-23. Waveheight Chart at 0.00 Hr, 11 May 1967






Figure III-24. Waveheight Chart at 12.00 Hr, 11 May 1967






Figure III-25. Power-Density Spectra of 11 May 1967 Noise






Figure III-26. Prediction-Error Plots for 11 May 1967 Noise Sample






Figure III-27. Wavenumber Spectra at 0.06 Hz, 11 May 1967 Noise Sample






Figure III-28. Surface-Pressure Map at 06.00 Hr, 11 May 1967






Figure III-29. 20-Min Segments of 10 July 1967 Noise Sample






Figure III-30. Power-Density Spectra of 10 July 1967 Noise






Figure III-31. Prediction-Error Plots for 10 July 1967 Noise Sample






Figure III-32. Wavenumber Spectra at 0.06 Hz, 10 July 1967 Noise Sample






Figure III-33. Surface-Pressure Map at 00.00 Hr, 10 July 1967






Figure III-34. Waveheight Chart at 00.00 Hr, 10 July 1967




Figure III-35. 20-Min Segments of 7 October 1967 Noise Sample






Figure III-36. Power-Density Spectra of 7 October 1967 Noise






Figure III-37. Prediction-Error Plots for 7 October 1967 Noise Sample






Figure III-38. Wavenumber Spectra at 0.06 Hz, 7 October 1967 Noise Sample






Figure III-39. Surface-Pressure Map at 12.00 Hr, 7 October 1967






Figure III-40. Waveheight Chart at 12.00 Hr, 7 October 1967



### SECTION IV

# COHERENCE BETWEEN MICROSEISMIC NOISE AND MICROBAROGRAPHIC DATA

The results presented in this report and in several published papers, show that the noise below about 0.05 Hz is nonseismic. 4,5,6 Haubrich and MacKenzie suggest that wind action and changes in the atmospheric pressure may generate microseismic noise. To investigate this, coherence between microseismic noise and microbarographic data was measured.

Five microbarographic instruments were in operation when the present samples were recorded. Their locations and characteristics are listed in Table IV-1. Two microbarographic instruments were at size A0. One instrument used different types of wind filters while the other used a single type of wind filter. The microbarograph sensor at site E was not used because it had very low sensitivity (Table IV-1).

Data containing microseismic noise consisted of the four segments from the 24 June long noise sample and the long noise sample from 10 July. Wiggly-trace monitors showed that only microbarographic data from the two A0 sensors were usable and both were very similar. All vertical data from seismic sensors were usable, but only 10 July horizontal data could be used.

Coherences and power-density spectra were computed using the technique described earlier. Auto- and crosspower spectra were smoothed over a 0.01 Hz (40  $\Delta$ f) interval. Coherences between A0 vertical and micro-barographic sensors were computed for all five samples, and all gave similar results. A typical prediction analysis plot is shown in Figure IV-1. Figure IV-2 shows the power spectra of the microbarographic data. In all cases,



the usable data had a power spectrum similar to the one pictured. Figure IV-3 shows the multiple coherence between the microbarograph and the horizontal traces at A0 for the 10 July noise sample.

Coherence between the air-pressure fluctuations and the longperiod seismometer outputs is quite low but is statistically significant. Effects of air pressure upon the long-period instruments, if cause-and-effect-related, are related in some way more complicated than buoyancy only.

Table IV-1
MICROBAROGRAPH CHARACTERISTICS


Subarray	Location	3-db Response Period (sec)	Sensitivity (µbar) =14 v p-p	Type of Instruments		
<b>A</b> 0	Central Telemetry Housing	10 to 60	100	Pace-Hudson		
Α0	Central Telemetry Housing	10 to 60	100	Pace-Hudson		
Bl	LP Vault	10 to 80	98.3	Geotech		
B4	LP Vault	10 to 80	87.3	Geotech		
E3	LP Vault	10 to 600	1200	Pace-Hudson		

Time-constant of wind filter was 10 sec in each case



## A0V-A0 MICROBAROGRAPH

Figure IV-1. Prediction-Error
Plot for A0 Vertical and Microbarograph Instruments,
24 June 1967 Noise
Sample



## A0 MICROBAROGRAPH--A0N, E

Figure IV-2. Prediction-Error
Plot for A0 Microbarograph and
Combinations of
Horizontal Instruments, 10 July 1967
Noise Sample





Figure IV-3. Power-Density Spectra of A0 Microbarographic Data, 24 June 1967 Noise





# SECTION V CONCLUSIONS

The summer noise samples are very similar to the quiet winter noise samples previously studied. 

The following additional conclusions about long-period noise resulted from this study.

- Nonseismic noise below 0.05 Hz is not strongly correlated with a microbarograph at the same location (for both vertical and horizontal components). This lack of coherence appears to rule out a simple cause-and-effect relationship due to buoyancy.
- The 0.05- to 0.08-Hz microseism peak is dominated by fundamental Rayleigh-mode energy, even when this energy is reduced as much as possible by conditioning the vertical elements on knowing the horizontals. There was some indication of significant power density in the velocity P-wave region. The P-wave energy is at a very low level. In absence of strong storm activity, the 0.05- to 0.08-Hz noise peak probably tends toward isotropic fundamental Rayleigh-mode energy.
- On the most quiet data sample there is definite evidence of P-wave energy occurring in a very weak spectral peak near 0.13 Hz.



## SECTION VI

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Texas Instruments Incorporated, 1967: Analysis of Long-Period Noise, Large-Array Signal and Noise Analysis, Spec. Rpt. 12, Contract AF 33(657)-16678, 8 Oct.
- 2. Burg, John P., 1967: Maximum Entropy Spectral Analysis, 37<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of Soc. of Exploration Geophysicists, Oklahoma City, Okla., Nov. (to be published).
- Texas Instruments Incorporated, 1967: Short-Period Noise Coherences
   Among Subarrays, Large-Array Signal and Noise Analysis, Spec.
   Rpt. 13, Contract AF 33(657)-16678, 20 Oct.
- 4. Capon, J., 1968: Investigation of Long-Period Noise at LASA, Lincoln Lab. Tech. Note 1968-15, Contract AF 19(628)-5167, 3 Jun.
- 5. Toksoz, M.N., and R.T. Lacoss, 1968, Microseisms, mode structure and sources: Science, v. 159, n. 3817, p. 23, Feb.
- 6. Haubrich, R.A., and G.S. MacKenzie, Earth noise, 5 to 500 millicycles per second: J. of Geophys. Res., v. 70, n. 6., p. 1429-1440, 15 Mar.

200111					
Country electrication of title, body of abstract and	IT CONTROL DATA - RAD	d - A the empty went to almost to the			
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)	Incorporated vision  Plas, Texas 75222  MER LONG-PERIOD NOISE — LARGE-ARRAY SIGNAL SIS SPEC. RPT. NO. 24  Fond inclusive dates)  Intel  To Total No. of Page: 75. No. of Refs 57  To Originator's report number(5)  To Originator's report number that may be accident file report.  To foreign nationals may be made only with prior FTAC.  12. SPONSORING BILITARY ACTIVITY  Air FOREIGN TO Tachnical Activity				
Texas Instruments Incorporated Science Services Division					
P. O. Box 5621, Dallas, Texas	75222	ID GROUP			
3. REPORT TITLE	13222				
	-PERIOD NOISE - L. RPT. NO. 24	ARGE-ARRAY SIGNAL			
4. DESCRIPTIVE HOTES (Type of report and inclusive del Special Scientific	••)				
S. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial)					
Alam, Aftab					
		•			
S. REPORT DATE	TP. TOTAL HO. OF PAGE	75. HO. OF REPS			
27 September 1968	57	6			
SO. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	De ORIGINATOR'S REPOR	T NUMBER(\$)			
Contract AF 33(657)-16678					
VT/6707					
6.	8 b. OTHER REPORT NO(8) (Any other numbers that may be seeigned				
This document is subject to spe foreign governments or foreign approval of Chief, AFTAC.	ecial export controls nationals may be ma	and each transmittal to			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILITAR'	YACTIVITY			
ARPA Order No. 599	Air Force Tech VELA Seismold	nnical Applications Center ogical Center			
	Headquarters.	USAF. Washington, D.C.			

13. ABSTRACT

The summer noise samples are very similar to the quiet winter noise samples. The following additional conclusions about long-period noise resulted from this study. Nonseismic noise below 0.05 Hz is not strongly correlated with a microbarograph at the same location (for both vertical and horizontal components). This lack of concernce appears to rule out a simple cause-and-effect relationship due to buoyancy. The 0.05- to 0.08-Hz microseism peak is dominated by fundamental Rayleigh-mode energy, even when this energy is reduced as much as possible by conditioning the vertical elements on knowing the horizontals. There was some indication of significant power density in the velocity P-wave region. The P-wave energy is at a very low level. In absence of strong storm activity, the 0.05- to 0.08-Hz noise peak probably tends toward isotropic fundamental Rayleigh-mode energy On the most quiet data sample there is definite evidence of P-wave energy occurring in a very weak spectral peak near 0.13 Hz.

KEY WORDS	LIN	LINK A		LINK D		LINKC	
	MOLE	WY	MOLE	***	HOLE		
Large-Array Signal and Noise Analysis LASA Summer Long-Period Noise Microbarographic Correlation							
INSTRUCTIONS							

- I. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantse, Department of Defense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.
- 2a. REPORT SECURTY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.
- 2b. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200. 10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.
- 3. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.
- 4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.
- 5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(a) as shown on or in the report. Enter tast name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.
- 6. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, month, y ar; or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.
- 7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count ahould follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.
- 76. NUMBER OF REFERENCES: Enter the total number of references cited in the report.
- 8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.
- 8b, 8c, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.
- 9a. ORIGINATOR'S RAPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.
- 9b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(\$): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the aponsor), also enter this number(s).
- io. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

Imposed by security classification, using standard statements such se:

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government sgencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC upers shall request through
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sule to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

- il. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explene-
- 12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (paying for) the research and development. Include address.
- 13. ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual aummary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional apace is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

it is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each peregraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the persgraph, represented as (TS). (S). (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

i4. KEY WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phreses that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.